arXiv:1605.08461v1 [math.DG] 26 May 2016

A Bochner Formula for Harmonic Maps into Non-Positively Curved Metric Spaces

Brian Freidin
Brown University
bfreidin@math.brown.edu

Abstract. We study harmonic maps from Riemannian manifolds into arbitrary non-positively curved metric spaces. First we discuss the domain variation formula with special emphasis on the error terms. Expanding higher order terms of this and other formulas in terms of curvature, we prove an analogue of the Eels-Sampson Bochner formula in this more general setting. In particular, we show that harmonic maps from spaces of non-negative Ricci curvature into non-positively curved spaces have subharmonic energy density. When the domain is compact the energy density is constant, and if the domain has a point of positive Ricci curvature every harmonic map into an NPC space must be constant.

1 Introduction

In 1964 Eels and Sampson [ES] introduced a Bochner identity for harmonic maps between smooth Riemannian manifolds. One of the consequences is that for a harmonic map from a space with a non-negative Ricci tensor to a space of non-positive sectional curvatures, the map is totally geodesic, with constant energy density, and if the domain has any point with positive Ricci curvature, then the map is constant.

The study of harmonic maps has applications in the setting of geometric rigidity. The geometric formulation asks if every map is homotopic to a totally geodesic map. The Bochner formula and other vanishing theorems allow one to deduce a positive answer under further geometric assumptions. In the event that one is looking at equivariant harmonic maps, this sort of rigidity statement implies rigidity statements for the representations of fundamental groups.

Gromov and Schoen [GS] initiated the study of harmonic maps into singular spaces, in particular Reimannian simplicial complexes. In [C] Chen introduced harmonic maps with simplicial complexes as domains as well. In [KS1] the study of harmonic maps is extended to maps into non-positively curved (NPC) metric spaces.

An NPC space X is a geodesic length space (any two points can be connected by a curve whose length realizes their distance) with a comparsion principle. For any geodesic triangle ABC in X, one can build a Euclidean triangle $\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with the same side lengths. The NPC criterion is that for any point D on the geodesic BC and the corresponding point $\bar{D}$ on $\bar{C}\bar{D}$ cutting the segment into the same proportions, the distince $d(A, D)$ in X is no more than the distance $|\bar{A} - \bar{D}|$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. These spaces generalize simply connected manifolds of non-positive sectional curvature.

The introduction of singular spaces into the theory of harmonic maps has allowed for more statements in geometric rigidity. For example, [GS] studied harmonic maps into Euclidean buildings to assert the p-adic superrigidity of lattices in groups of rank 1. In [DMV] Daskalopoulos, Mese and Vdovina prove the superrigidity of hyperbolic buildings, while in [DM] Daskalopoulos and Mese prove rigidity statements for harmonic maps from 2-dimensional complexes to general NPC spaces.

In [C], Chen used techniques developed in [GS] to attack the problem of the Bochner formula for harmonic maps from manifolds of non-negative sectional curvature to non-positively curved simplicial complexes. We expand these methods to derive a Bochner formula for maps into general NPC spaces involving the Ricci curvatures of the domain, and as a result conclude

Theorem 1 *If M is a Riemannian manifold of non-negative Ricci curvature and X an NPC metric space, then in the weak sense,*

$$\Delta \left|\nabla u\right|^2 \geq 0$$

If M is compact, then $\left|\nabla u\right|^2$ is contant. Moreover, if there is a point of M where the Ricci tensor is positive, then u is a constant map.

In [KS2]it is deduced that harmonic maps from flat tori to NPC spaces are totally geodesic. As a byproduct of the methods of this paper, we reproduce this result:

Corollary 2 *If M is a flat, compact Riemannian manifold and X is an NPC metric space, and $u : M \to X$ is a harmonic map, then u is totally geodesic. That is, constant speed geodesics on M are sent by u to constant speed geodesics in X.*

After first discussing some useful analytic results in §2, our next order of business is to discuss a domain variation formula in §3. We derive the formula from [GS] via integration by parts, finding one term to have a sign different from what was printed there.

The term of interest derives from the geometry of the domain. In [GS], this and another term were labeled as remainder and shown to have small enough order in terms of other quantities so as not to affect any further results. The sign of these terms is, however, essential to our work.

Also in §3 we derive the target variation formula as well as reproving the Lipschitz continuity of harmonic maps.

In §4 we expand these remainder terms as well as others to ultimately understand the assymptotic behaviour of the average value of the energy density on geodesic balls, and thus deduce the Bochner identity.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank George Daskalopoulos for suggesting the problem, Chikako Mese for her interest and comments, as well as Jingyi Chen for his comments and encouragement.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, M denotes a Riemannian manifold of dimension n with Levi-Civita connection ∇ and Riemannian curvature tensor

$$R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z$$

For a local frame $\{e_i\}$ of tangent vectors, define the symbols of the curvature tensor by

$$R(e_i, e_j)e_k = R_{ijk}{}^{\ell} e_\ell$$

and

$$R_{ijk\ell} = \langle R(e_i, e_j)e_k, e_\ell \rangle = R_{ijk}{}^{m} g_{m\ell}$$

The symbols of the Ricci curvature now become

$$R_{ij} = Ric(e_i, e_j) = g^{k\ell} R_{kij\ell} = R_{kij}{}^{k}$$

We will work throughout this paper in normal coordinates around $x_0 \in M$, identified with $0 \in T_{x_0}M$. We will denote by $d\mu$ and $d\Sigma$ respectively the Riemannian volume and surface measures, and by dx and dS the Euclidean measures. We will also use the convention that ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^n$. Under all of our conventions, the methods in [GJW] show

Proposition 3 *On a Riemannian manifold M take normal coordinates $\{x^i\}$ about a point $x_0 \in M$. Identifying x_0 with $0 \in T_{x_0}M$, the metric may be written locally near x_0 as*

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} R_{kij\ell}(0) x^k x^\ell + O(|x|^3)$$

And, taking determinants, the volume element can be expanded as

$$\sqrt{g} = 1 - \frac{1}{6} R_{ij}(0) x^i x^j + O(|x|^3)$$

Here the curvature symbols $R_{kij\ell}$ and R_{ij} are symbols of the curvature tensor at $0 = x_0$. Both of the $O(|x|^3)$ terms depend on the geometry of M, and in particular are smooth functions of x.

We will also require the following

Proposition 4 *Let Q and $\tilde{Q}$ be quadratic forms on Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Write $Q(x) = Q_{ij} x^i x^j$ and $\tilde{Q}(x) = \tilde{Q}_{ij} x^i x^j$ for symmetric matrices Q_{ij} and $\tilde{Q}_{ij}$. Then*

1. $$\int_{\partial B_\sigma} Q(x) dS = \omega_n Q_{ii} \sigma^{n+1}$$

2. $$\int_{B_\sigma} Q(x) dx = \frac{\omega_n}{n+2} Q_{ii} \sigma^{n+2}$$

3. $$\int_{\partial B_\sigma} Q(x) \tilde{Q}(x) dS = \frac{\omega_n}{n+2} \left(2 Q_{ij} \tilde{Q}_{ji} + Q_{ii} \tilde{Q}_{jj} \right) \sigma^{n+3}$$

PROOF. For 1, see

$$\int_{\partial B_\sigma} Q(x)dS = \int_{\partial B_\sigma} Q_{ij}x^i x^j$$

For $i \neq j$, this integral vanishes since the integrand is an odd function of x^i. So

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial B_\sigma} Q(x)dS &= Q_{ii}\int_{\partial B_\sigma}(x^i)^2 dS \\ &= \frac{1}{n}Q_{ii}\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\sigma^2 dS \\ &= \omega_n Q_{ii}\sigma^{n+1}\end{aligned}$$

For 2 integrate the result from 1:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_\sigma} Q(x)dx &= \int_0^\sigma \int_{\partial B_r} Q(x)dSdr \\ &= \int_0^\sigma \omega_n Q_{ii} r^{n+1}dr \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{n+2}Q_{ii}\sigma^{n+2}\end{aligned}$$

For 3 compute:

$$\int_{\partial B_\sigma} Q(x)\tilde{Q}(x)dS = \int_{\partial B_\sigma} Q_{ij}x^i x^j \tilde{Q}_{k\ell}x^k x^\ell$$

Define a vector field $V = \sigma Q_{ij}x^i x^j \tilde{Q}_{k\ell}x^k e_\ell$ and note that on ∂B_σ the unit outward normal is $\nu = \frac{1}{\sigma}x^m e_m$. Hence the divergence theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial B_\sigma} Q(x)\tilde{Q}(x)dS &= \int_{\partial B_\sigma} V\cdot\nu dS \\
&= \int_{B_\sigma} div(V)dx \\
&= \sigma\int_{B_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}\left(Q_{ij}x^ix^j\tilde{Q}_{k\ell}x^k\right)dx \\
&= \sigma\left[R_{kk}\int_{B_\sigma}Q_{ij}x^ix^jdx+2\int_{B_\sigma}Q_{ij}\tilde{Q}_{jk}x^ix^kdx\right] \\
&= \frac{\omega_n}{n+2}\left(2Q_{ij}\tilde{Q}_{ji}+Q_{ii}\tilde{Q}_{jj}\right)\sigma^{n+3}
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Two special cases of part 2 are as follows: If we take the Euclidean metric $g|_{x_0}$ in $T_{x_0}M$, and let $Q(x) = Ric_{x_0}(x,x)$, then $Q_{ij} = R_{ij}(0)$ are the symbols of the Ricci tensor and $R_{ii}(0)$ is the scalar curvature $S(0)$, so

$$\int_{B_\sigma(0)} Ric_0(x,x)dx = \frac{\omega_n}{n+2}S(0)\sigma^{n+2} \tag{1}$$

If we fix a vector $v\in T_{x_0}M$ and take $Q(x) = \langle R_0(x,v)v,x\rangle$, then $Q_{ij} = v^kv^\ell R_{ik\ell j}(0)$ and $Q_{ii} = v^kv^\ell R_{ik\ell i}(0) = v^kv^\ell R_{k\ell}(0) = Ric_0(v,v)$, so

$$\int_{B_\sigma(0)}\langle R_0(v,x)x,v\rangle dx = \frac{\omega_n}{n+2}Ric_0(v,v)\sigma^{n+2} \tag{2}$$

We also now have the tools to phrase a more precise asyymptotic version of the Bishop-Gromov comparison theorem:

Proposition 5 *Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Then for $x_0\in M$ and σ small,*

$$|\partial B_\sigma(x_0)| = \left(\frac{n}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{3(n+2)}S(x_0)\sigma+O(\sigma^2)\right)|B_\sigma(x_0)|$$

PROOF. The ball of radius σ in normal coordinates coincides with the Euclidean ball of the same radius, and we have the Taylor expansion of the volume element from Proposition 3:

$$\sqrt{g} = 1 - \frac{1}{6} R_{ij}(0) x^i x^j + O(|x|^3)$$

Integrate to find the volume of the ball, using part 2 from Proposition 4:

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_\sigma| &= \int_{B_\sigma} \sqrt{g} dx \\
&= \int_{B_\sigma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{6} R_{ij} x^i x^j + O(|x|^3)\right) dx \\
&= \omega_n \sigma^n - \frac{\omega_n}{6(n+2)} S(0) \sigma^{n+2} + O(\sigma^{n+3}) \\
\frac{1}{|B_\sigma|} &= \frac{1}{\omega_n \sigma^n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{6(n+2)} S(0) \sigma^2 + O(\sigma^3)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now the area of the sphere can be found with derivatives, or by integrating the volume element on the sphere:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial B_\sigma| &= n\omega_n \sigma^{n-1} - \frac{\omega_n}{6} S(0) \sigma^{n+1} + O(\sigma^{n+2}) \\
\frac{|\partial B_\sigma|}{|B_\sigma|} &= \left(\frac{n}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{6} S(0)\sigma + O(\sigma^2)\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{6(n+2)} S(0) \sigma^2 + O(\sigma^3)\right) \\
&= \frac{n}{\sigma} + \left(\frac{n}{6(n+2)} - \frac{1}{6}\right) S(0)\sigma + O(\sigma^2) \\
&= \frac{n}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{3(n+2)} S(0)\sigma + O(\sigma^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Definition 6 *A term $o(\sigma^k)$ denotes a function on M depending on the parameter σ with the property that for σ less than some σ_0, $\frac{o(\sigma^k)}{\sigma^k} \in L^\infty$ with L^∞ norm bounded independent of σ (i.e. it is $O(\sigma^k)$), and for almost every $x \in M$, as $\sigma \to 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^k} o(\sigma^k)(x) \to 0$$

Note that by the domniated convergence theorem, a term that is $o(\sigma^k)$ has, for any compact $K \subseteq M$,

$$\left\| \frac{o(\sigma^k)}{\sigma^k} \right\|_{L^1(K)} \to 0$$

Note also that a smooth $O(\sigma^k)$ term is, in particular, $o(\sigma^{k-1})$.

Proposition 7 *For an L^∞ function ϕ and a smooth function f on a domain $\Omega \subset M$, integrating in normal coordinates around $x_0 \in \Omega$ we have for $\sigma < d(x_0, \partial\Omega)$*

$$\int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} f(x)\phi(x)dx = \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} f(x)\phi(0)dx + o(\sigma^n) \sup_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |f|$$

PROOF.

$$\left| \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} f(x)\phi(x)dx - \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} f(x)\phi(x_0)dx \right| \leq \sup_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |f| \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |\phi(x) - \phi(x_0)|\, dx$$

Define $\phi^\sigma(x_0) = \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |\phi(x) - \phi(x_0)|\, dx$. At a Lebesgue point x_0 for ϕ, we have $\frac{1}{\sigma^n}\phi^\sigma(x_0) \to 0$. This is the almost everywhere convergence condition.

Since $\phi \in L^\infty$, there is some $K > 0$ with $\phi \leq K$, so $\phi^\sigma \leq 2K\omega_n\sigma^n$. This gives the L^∞ bound. Hence $\phi^\sigma = o(\sigma^k)$, so we can write

$$\int_{B_\sigma} f(x)\phi(x)dx = \int_{B_\sigma} f(x)\phi(0)dx + o(\sigma^n) \sup_{B_\sigma} |f|$$

Q.E.D.

We will need to do some arithmetic with $o(\sigma^k)$ terms, as well as integrate such a function over a range of σ.

Lemma 8 *For a function $o(\sigma^k)$, the function*

$$\int_0^\sigma o(\tau^k)d\tau$$

defined by integrating $o(\sigma^k)$ pointwise on M is $o(\sigma^{k+1})$. Additionally,

- $o(\sigma^k)o(\sigma^\ell) = o(\sigma^{k+\ell})$

- $e^{o(\sigma^k)} = 1 + o(\sigma^k)$
- $\frac{1}{1+o(\sigma^k)} = 1 + o(\sigma^k)$

PROOF. For σ sufficiently small we have $\frac{o(\sigma^k)}{\sigma^k} \leq C$ independant of σ. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma^{k+1}} \int_0^\sigma o(\tau^k)(x) d\tau &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma^{k+1}} \int_0^\sigma C\tau^k d\tau \\ &= \frac{C}{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

And for $\epsilon > 0$ and almost any $x \in M$, we can find $\sigma_0 > 0$ so that $\sigma < \sigma_0$ implies $\frac{1}{\sigma^k} o(\sigma^k)(x) < \epsilon$. So for $\sigma < \sigma_0$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma^{k+1}} \int_0^\sigma o(\tau^k)(x) d\tau &< \frac{1}{\sigma^{k+1}} \int_0^\sigma \epsilon\tau^k d\tau \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{\sigma^{k+1}} \int_0^\sigma o(\tau^k) d\tau$ is bounded in L^∞ independant of σ and tends to 0 almost everywhere, $\int_0^\sigma o(\tau^k) d\tau = o(\sigma^{k+1})$. The other three formulas follow similarly, using Taylor expansions for the latter two. Q.E.D.

3 Domain and Target Variation Formulas and Lipschitz Continuity

We will now derive the formula from [GS], taking special care with terms involving derivatives of metric information, as these will be essential to the rest of our work.

Proposition 9 *For a Riemannian manifold M, an NPC metric space X, and a harmonic map $u : M \to X$, we have for almost every $x_0 \in M$ (writing B_σ for $B_\sigma(x_0)$),*

$$(2-n)\int_{B_\sigma}|\nabla u|^2\,d\mu+\sigma\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\left[|\nabla u|^2-2\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2\right]d\Sigma$$
$$=\int_{B_\sigma}\left[x^k\frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}\cdot\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j}\sqrt{g}+|\nabla u|^2\,x^k\frac{\partial\sqrt{g}}{\partial x^k}\right]dx \quad (3)$$

Remark 10 *Note that this formula corrects a sign error appearing in [GS]. The change to one term on the right hand side of the above formula, while essential to the present work, does not affect the remainder of the arguments in [GS] as they only require these terms to be* $O(\sigma^2)\int_{B_\sigma}|\nabla u|^2\,d\mu$.

PROOF. These computations will be done in normal coordinates around $x_0 = 0$. Consider a family of maps $u_t : M \to X$ as follows: Let η be a smooth test function supported near 0, and let $F_t(x) = (1 + t\eta(x))x$. Define $u_t(x) = u \circ F_t(x)$.

[KS1] describes how to relate the pull-back tensor of u_t with that of u by the formula

$$(\pi_{u_t})_{ij}(x) = \frac{\partial F_t^\alpha}{\partial x^i}(x)\frac{\partial F_t^\beta}{\partial x^j}(x)(\pi_u)_{\alpha\beta}(F_t(x))$$

This formula generalizes the chain rule for smooth functions. Now we consider the energy of the map u_t:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u_t) &= \int |\nabla u_t|^2 d\mu \\
&= \int g^{ij}(x)(\pi_{u_t})_{ij}(x) d\mu \\
&= \int g^{ij}(x)(\pi_u)_{\alpha\beta}(F_t(x)) \left[(1+t\eta(x))\delta_i^\alpha + tx^\alpha \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^i}(x)\right] \\
&\quad \times \left[(1+t\eta(x))\delta_j^\beta + tx^\beta \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^j}(x)\right] d\mu \\
&= \int g^{ij}(x) \left[(1+2t\eta(x))(\pi_u)_{ij}(F_t(x)) + 2tx^k \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^i}(x)(\pi_u)_{jk}(F_t(x))\right] d\mu + O(t^2) \\
&= O(t^2) + \int g^{ij}(F_t^{-1}(x)) \Bigg[(1+2t\eta(F_t^{-1}(x)))(\pi_u)_{ij}(x) \\
&\quad + 2t(F_t^{-1})^k(x) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^i}(F_t^{-1}(x))(\pi_u)_{jk}(x)\Bigg] \left|det(dF_t^{-1}(x))\right| \sqrt{g(F_t^{-1}(x))} dx
\end{aligned}
$$

This last line comes from the change of variables formula, which is valid even in the presence of the L^1 tensor π.

First compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F_t^i}{\partial x^j} &= (1+t\eta(x))\delta_j^i + t\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^j} x^i \\
det(dF_t) &= 1 + nt\eta(x) + tx^k \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^k} + O(t^2) \\
det(dF_t^{-1}) &= 1 - nt\eta - tx^k \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^k} + O(t^2)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will need the following computation, for smooth functions f:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f \circ F_t^{-1}(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^k}(F_t^{-1}(x)) \frac{\partial (F_t^{-1})^k}{\partial t}(x)
$$

Since $F_t(x) = (1+t\eta(x))x$, there is some function ρ so that $F_t^{-1}(x) = \rho(t,x)x$. Then $x = F_t(F_t^{-1}(x)) = (1+t\eta(\rho(t,x)x))\rho(t,x)x = x$. Hence $(1+t\eta(\rho(t,x)x))\rho(t,x) = 1$ and so taking $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ we have

$$
0 = \eta(\rho(t,x)x)\rho(t,x) + (1+t\eta(\rho(t,x)x))\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}
$$

At $t = 0$ $\rho(0, x) = 1$ since F_0 is the identity, so $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = -\eta(x)$. Now $(F_t^{-1})^k(x) = \rho(t, x)x^k$, so we finally have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=0} f \circ F_t^{-1}(x) = -\eta(x)x^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^k}(x)$$

Now we can take the derivative of $E(u_t)$ at $t = 0$ and pass the derivative under the integral, writing π for π_u. Since u is harmonic, the derivative is 0.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} E(u_t) \\ &= -\int \eta x^k \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k} \pi_{ij} d\mu + \int g^{ij} \left[2\eta \pi_{ij} + 2x^k \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^i} \pi_{jk} \right] d\mu \\ &\quad - \int g^{ij} \pi_{ij} \left(n\eta + x^k \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^k} \right) d\mu - \int g^{ij} \pi_{ij} \eta x^k \frac{\partial \sqrt{g}}{\partial x^k} dx \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} (2-n) \int \eta |\nabla u|^2 d\mu &+ \int \left[2g^{ij} x^k \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^i} \pi_{jk} - x^k \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^k} |\nabla u|^2 \right] d\mu \\ &= \int \eta \left[\pi_{ij} x^k \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k} \sqrt{g} + |\nabla u|^2 x^k \frac{\partial \sqrt{g}}{\partial x^k} \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

Let now η approach the characteristic function of a ball B_σ about 0. The partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x^k}$ approach $-\frac{x^k}{|x|}$ times a δ-distribution on the sphere ∂B_σ. So the above formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (2-n) \int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu &+ \int_{\partial B_\sigma} \left[\frac{x^k x^k}{|x|} |\nabla u|^2 - 2g^{ij} \frac{x^k x^i}{|x|} \pi_{jk} \right] d\Sigma \\ &= \int_{B_\sigma} \left[\pi_{ij} x^k \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k} \sqrt{g} + |\nabla u|^2 x^k \frac{\partial \sqrt{g}}{\partial x^k} \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

Now note in the second integral on the left that $x^k x^k = |x|^2 = \sigma^2$. From [GJW] equation (2.8) says $x^i g_{ij} = x^j$, so $g^{ij} x^i x^k \pi_{jk} = x^j x^k \pi_{jk}$. And $x^j x^k \pi_{jk} = \pi(x^j \partial_j, x^k \partial_k) = \pi\left(r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) = r^2 \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2$. Hence

$$(2-n)\int_{B_\sigma}|\nabla u|^2 d\mu \;+\; \sigma\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\left[|\nabla u|^2 - 2\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2\right]d\Sigma$$
$$= \int_{B_\sigma}\left[\pi_{ij}x^k\frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k}\sqrt{g} + |\nabla u|^2 x^k\frac{\partial\sqrt{g}}{\partial x^k}\right]dx$$

Q.E.D.

For the rest of our work, we will need a more precise expansion of the terms on the right hand side of this formula.

Lemma 11 *Let M be a Riemannian manifold, X an NPC metric space, and $u : M \to X$ a harmonic map. For almost every $x_0 \in M$,*

$$(2-n)\int_{B_\sigma(x_0)}|\nabla u|^2 d\mu + \sigma\int_{\partial B_\sigma(x_0)}\left[|\nabla u|^2 - 2\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2\right]d\Sigma$$
$$= \frac{\omega_n}{3(n+2)}\left(2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(x_0) - S(x_0)\left|\nabla u\right|^2(x_0)\right)\sigma^{n+2} + o(\sigma^{n+2})$$

If $\left|\nabla u\right|^2(x_0) \neq 0$, then

$$(2-n)\int_{B_\sigma(x_0)}|\nabla u|^2 d\mu + \sigma\int_{\partial B_\sigma(x_0)}\left[|\nabla u|^2 - 2\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2\right]d\Sigma$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{3(n+2)}\left(\frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(x_0)}{\left|\nabla u\right|^2(x_0)} - S(x_0)\right)\sigma^2 + o(\sigma^2)\right)\int_{B_\sigma(x_0)}|\nabla u|^2 d\mu$$

*

Proof. This result follows from taking a closer look at the terms on the right hand side in (3). We will first expand the terms that come from the domain, those that do not involve the tensor π. Fix $x_0 \in M$, a Lebesgue point for all the π_{ij} and $|\nabla u|^2$, and identify it with $0 \in T_{x_0}M$ via exponential coordinates. Recall the Taylor expansion for the metric and the volume element from Proposition 3 and compute:

$$\begin{aligned} x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} g^{ij} &= -g^{ip} x^k \frac{\partial g_{pq}}{\partial x^k} g^{qj} \\ &= \frac{2}{3}\langle R_0(\partial_i, x)x, \partial_j\rangle + O(|x|^3) \\ x^k \frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial x^k}\sqrt{g} &= \frac{2}{3}\langle R_0(\partial_i, x)x, \partial_j\rangle + O(|x|^3) \\ x^k \frac{\partial \sqrt{g}}{\partial x^k} &= -\frac{1}{3} R_{ij} x^i x^j + O(|x|^3) \\ &= -\frac{1}{3} Ric_0(x,x) + O(|x|^3) \end{aligned}$$

Combining all the $O(|x|^3)$ terms and recalling that $|\pi_{ij}| \leq |\nabla u|^2$, we may now rewrite the right hand side of (3) as

$$\frac{2}{3}\int_{B_\sigma} \pi_{ij}\langle R_0(\partial_i, x)x, \partial_j\rangle dx - \frac{1}{3}\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 Ric_0(x,x)dx + O(\sigma^3)\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

Since $|\nabla u|^2$ is in L^∞_{loc} (because u is locally Lipschitz), it is in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ for some $x_0 \in \Omega \Subset M$, and all the quadratic curvature terms are smooth and $O(|x|^2)$, so proposition 7 lets us write the above as

$$\frac{2}{3}\pi_{ij}(0)\int_{B_\sigma} \langle R_0(\partial_i, x)x, \partial_j\rangle dx - \frac{1}{3}|\nabla u|^2(0)\int_{B_\sigma} Ric_0(x,x)dx + o(\sigma^{n+2})$$

Note that $\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu$ is $O(\sigma^n)$, and so $O(\sigma^3)\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ is $O(\sigma^{n+3})$, which is in particular $o(\sigma^{n+2})$, so it has been absorbed into that term

Now choose an orthonormal change of basis to diagonalize $\pi(0)$, so that $\pi_{ij}(0) = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$. Now the right hand side of (3) can be further rewritten

$$\frac{2}{3}\lambda_i\int_{B_\sigma} \langle R_0(\partial_i, x)x, \partial_i\rangle dx - \frac{1}{3}|\nabla u|^2(0)\int_{B_\sigma} Ric_0(x,x)dx + o(\sigma^{n+2})$$

Equations (1) and (2) after Proposition 4 let us integrate these curvature terms. The above becomes

$$\frac{\omega_n}{3(n+2)}\left(2tr(\pi \cdot Ric)(0) - S(0)|\nabla u|^2(0)\right)\sigma^{n+2} + o(\sigma^{n+2})$$

By $tr(\pi \cdot Ric)$ we mean the trace of the product of their matrices, i.e. $\pi_{ij} R_{ji}$. Hence (3) can be written

$$(2-n)\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu + \sigma \int_{\partial B_\sigma} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 \right] d\Sigma$$
$$= \frac{\omega_n}{3(n+2)} \sigma^{n+2} \left(2 \frac{tr(\pi \cdot Ric)(0)}{|\nabla u|^2 (0)} - S(0) \right) |\nabla u|^2 (0) + o(\sigma^{n+2})$$

Since x_0 is a Lebesgue point for $|\nabla u|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu &= |\nabla u|^2 (0) Vol(B_\sigma) + o(\sigma^n) \\ &= |\nabla u|^2 (0) \omega_n \sigma^n + o(\sigma^n) \end{aligned}$$

The $o(\sigma^n)$ error term in the first line is integrable, and small, by the same reasoning as in Proposition 7. So as long as $|\nabla u|^2 (x_0) \neq 0$, we can write

$$\left(\int_{B_\sigma(x_)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\omega_n \sigma^n |\nabla u|^2 (x_0)} (1 + o(1))$$

So around points with $|\nabla u|^2 \neq 0$ (3) can be further written

$$(2-n)\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu + \sigma \int_{\partial B_\sigma} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 \right] d\Sigma$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{3(n+2)} \left(\frac{2tr(\pi \cdot Ric)(0)}{|\nabla u|^2 (0)} - S(0) \right) \sigma^2 + o(\sigma^2) \right) \int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu$$

Q.E.D.

The following proposition comes from variation of the map u on the target, rather than on the domain. In [GS] this is proved using the chain rule for maps into riemannian simplicial complexes. In our more general setting, we do not have quite so strong tools as the chain rule.

Proposition 12 *For a harmonic map $u : M \to X$ into an NPC space X and for any $Q \in X$ we have in the weak sense*

$$\Delta d^2(u, Q) \geq 2 \left|\nabla u\right|^2$$

PROOF.

Pick a point $x \in M$ and a tangent direction $v \in T_x M$ with $|v| = 1$. For $\epsilon > 0$ small let $x + \epsilon v$ denote the image of ϵv under the exponential map exp_x. For the points Q, $u(x)$ and $u(x + \epsilon v)$ in X, let the points 0, $\tilde{u}(x)$ and $\tilde{u}(x + \epsilon v)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ be a comparison triangle. That is, points on the triangle in X are no farther apart than corresponding points on the Euclidean triangle.

Extend the msp $\tilde{u}$ to the geodesic between x and $x + \epsilon v$ by the formula

$$\tilde{u}(x + s\epsilon v) = (1 - s)\tilde{u}(x) + s\tilde{u}(x + \epsilon v)$$

Take a smooth test function η supported in a neighborhood of x and define a one-parameter family of maps $u_t : M \to X$ define by setting $u_t(y)$ to be the point that is a fraction $1 - t\eta(y)$ of the way along the geodesic from Q to $u(y)$. Similarly, along the geodesic from x to $x + \epsilon v$ define

$$\tilde{u}_t(y) = (1 - t\eta(y))\tilde{u}(y)$$

Now we can compute the directional derivative of the map $\tilde{u}_t$ using the chain rule

$$v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}_t(y) = (1 - t\eta(y))v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(y) - t(v \cdot \nabla \eta(y))\tilde{u}(y)$$

And we can compute the magnitude of this derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \left|v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}_t(y)\right|^2 &= (1 - t\eta(y))^2 \left|v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(y)\right|^2 - 2t(v \cdot \nabla \eta(y))\tilde{u}(y) \cdot (v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(y)) + O(t^2) \\ &= (1 - 2t\eta(y) \left|v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(y)\right|^2 - t(v \cdot \eta(y))(v \cdot \nabla \left|\tilde{u}\right|^2 (y)) + O(t^2) \end{aligned}$$

A simple computation shows

$$v \cdot \nabla u(x) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\tilde{u}(x + s\epsilon v) - \tilde{u}(x)}{s\epsilon} = \frac{\tilde{u}(x + \epsilon v) - \tilde{u}(x)}{\epsilon}$$

And hence

$$\left|v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(x)\right|^2 = \frac{d^2(u(x + \epsilon v), u(x))}{\epsilon^2}$$

Also,

$$v \cdot \nabla \left|\tilde{u}\right|^2 (x) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\left|\tilde{u}(x+sv)\right|^2 - \left|\tilde{u}(x)\right|^2}{s} = \frac{\left|\tilde{u}(x+\epsilon v)\right|^2 - \left|\tilde{u}(x)\right|^2}{\epsilon} + o(1)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|v \cdot \tilde{u}_t(x)\right|^2 &= (1 - 2t\eta(x)) \frac{d^2(u(x+\epsilon v), u(x))}{\epsilon^2} \\ &\quad -t(v \cdot \nabla\eta(x)) \left(\frac{d^2(u(x+\epsilon v), Q) - d^2(u(x), Q)}{\epsilon} + o(1) \right) + O(t^2) \end{aligned}$$

By the triangle comparison principle, we have

$$\frac{d(u_t(x), u_t(x+\epsilon v))}{\epsilon^2} \leq \frac{\left|\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(x+\epsilon v)\right|^2}{\epsilon^2} = \left|v \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(x)\right|^2 + o(1)$$

Taking $\epsilon \to 0$ yields

$$\left|(u_t)_* v\right|^2 (x) \leq (1 - 2t\eta(x)) \left|u_* v\right|^2 (x) - t(v \cdot \nabla\eta(x))(v \cdot \nabla d^2(u, Q)(x)) + O(t^2)$$

Averaging over the sphere of unit vectors at x, we get

$$\left|\nabla u_t\right|^2 (x) \leq (1 - 2t\eta(x)) \left|\nabla u\right|^2 (x) - t(\nabla\eta \cdot \nabla d^2(u, Q))(x) + O(t^2)$$

Finally, integrate over the domain, and recall that u is minimizing:

$$E(u) \leq E(u_t) \leq E(u) - 2t \int \eta \left|\nabla u\right|^2 + t \int (\Delta\eta) d^2(u, Q) + O(t^2)$$

Cancelling the $E(u)$, dividing by t and sending $t \to 0$ yields

$$\int (\Delta\eta) d^2(u, Q) \geq 2 \int \eta \left|\nabla u\right|^2$$

Q.E.D.

In particular, letting η approach the characteristic function of the ball $B_\sigma(0)$, we see

$$\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \leq \int_{B_\sigma} \Delta d^2(u,Q) d\mu = \int_{\partial B_\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} d^2(u,Q) d\Sigma$$

We have already used (in lemma 11) and will continue to use extensively the fact that a harmonic map is locally Lipschitz continuous. This was shown in [GS] for maps into simplicial complexes and in [KS1] for maps into NPC spaces. It also follows from our work if one takes only the weaker statements without assuming continuity, so we include the proof here for completeness.

Proposition 13 *Let $u : M \to X$ be a harmonic map from a Riemannian manifold M into and NPC metric space X. Then u is locally Lipschitz continuous, with Lipschitz constant depending on the energy of u and the injectivity radius of M.*

Proof.

First, we have equation (3) and not the result of lemma 11. Following that, we have only the following statement our of [GS], which we expand near 0, rather than the full force of proposition 15.

$$\frac{\sigma \int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu}{\int_{\partial B_\sigma} d^2(u,Q) d\Sigma} \geq e^{c_1 \sigma^2} = 1 + O(\sigma^2)$$

And finally, in the proof of Proposition 16 we would see

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} \log \left(\fint_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \right) \geq O(\sigma)$$

Since the function $d^2(u,Q)$ is subharmonic for any $Q \in X$, the mean value inequality from [LS] says

$$\sup_{B_{r/2}(x_0)} d^2(u,Q) \leq C(1 + O(r)) \fint_{B_r(x_0)} d^2(u,Q) d\mu$$

Here the constant C depends on the dimension of M, while the $O(r)$ term depends on the Ricci curvatures. If M has non-negative Ricci curvatures, we may take $O(r) = 0$.

Now for $x \in M$, r smaller than the injectivity radius at x, and any $Q \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{B_{r/2}(x)} d^2(u,Q) &\leq C(1+O(r)) \fint_{B_r(x)} d^2(u,Q)d\mu \\
&= \frac{C+O(r)}{|B_r(x)|}\int_0^r \int_{\partial B_s(x)} d^2(u,Q)d\Sigma ds \\
&\leq \frac{C+O(r)}{|B_r(x)|}\int_0^r (1+O(s))s\int_{B_s(x)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu ds \\
&\leq O(r^2)\fint_{B_r(x)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu
\end{aligned}$$

Fix $x_0 \in M$ and σ smaller than its injectivity radius. For $x_1, x_2 \in B_{\sigma/8}(x_0)$ we have $d(x_1,x_2) < \sigma/4$. Take Q to be the midpoint of $u(x_1)$ and $u(x_2)$. Note that

$$d^2(u(x_1),u(x_2)) \leq 2d^2(u(x_1),Q) + 2d^2(u(x_2),Q)$$

Applying the above inequality around x_i for $i = 1,2$ with radius $r = 2d(x_1,x_2)$, we have

$$d^2(u(x_i),Q) \leq O(r^2)\fint_{B_r(x_i)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu$$

Integrating the inequality from Proposition 16 gives

$$\fint_{B_{\sigma/2}(x_i)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \geq (1+O(\sigma^2))\fint_{B_r(x_i)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(u(x_i),Q) &\leq O(r^2)(1+O(\sigma^2))\fint_{B_{\sigma/2}(x_i)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{O(r^2)(1+O(\sigma^2))}{\left|B_{\sigma/2}(x_i)\right|}\int_{B_{\sigma/2}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu
\end{aligned}$$

This $O(r^2)$ term we can say is less than some $Cr^2 = \frac{C}{4}d^2(x_1,x_2)$. Putting everything together yields, for some constant $C(\sigma)$ depending on σ,

$$\frac{d^2(u(x_1),u(x_2))}{d^2(x_1,x_2)} \leq C(\sigma)\int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu$$

As this holds for any $x_1, x_2 \in B_{\sigma/8}(x_0)$, this gives a local Lipschitz bound, and it can be seen how the bound depends on the energy of u as well as the injectivity radius (i.e. distance to the boundary).

Q.E.D.

4 A Bochner Formula

This section of the paper will first give an assymptotic expansion of the usual monotonicity formula for harmonic maps before deriving the mean value property that will lead to our main theorem.

Let M, X, and u be as in Lemma 11. For $x_0 \in M$ and $Q \in X$, define

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sigma) &= \int_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \\ I(\sigma) &= \int_{\partial B_\sigma(x_0)} d^2(u, Q) d\mu \end{aligned}$$

For $I(\sigma)$ we would like to choose the point $Q \in X$ that minimizes the integral, at least assymptotically as $\sigma \to 0$. Since harmonic maps are locally Lipschitz continuous (c.f. [GS], [KS1]), we will take $Q = u(x_0)$.

We will use frequently expansions of $E(\sigma)$ and $I(\sigma)$. For $E(\sigma)$, we will take advantage of Lebesgue points for $|\nabla u|^2$ to say

$$E(\sigma) = |\nabla u|^2 (0) Vol(B_\sigma) + o(\sigma^n) = \omega_n |\nabla u|^2 (0) \sigma^n + o(\sigma^n)$$

For $I(\sigma)$, we first work only at a single point x_0 to derive a pointwise expression for $d^2(u, Q)$ before arriving at an expansion of $I(\sigma)$.

Lemma 14

$$d^2(u(x), u(0)) = \pi_{ij} x^i x^j + e(x)$$

Here $e(x)$ depends on the basepoint 0 as well as x near 0, but $\frac{e(x)}{|x|^2}$ can be bounded independent of both x and 0. Integrating $\frac{e(x)}{|x|^2}$ along concentric spheres the quantity tends to 0 at almost every basepoint:

$$I(\sigma) = \omega_n |\nabla u|^2 (0) \sigma^{n+1} + o(\sigma^{n+1})$$

PROOF. We recall the definition of the directional derivatives from [KS1], for almost every direction Z:

$$|u_* Z|^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{d^2(u(\epsilon Z), u(0))}{\epsilon^2}$$

We also recall that the pull back tensor π satisfies

$$|u_* Z|^2 = \pi_{ij} Z^i Z^j$$

Hence the quantity

$$\frac{d^2(u(\epsilon Z), u(0))}{\epsilon^2} - \pi_{ij} Z^i Z^j$$

tends to 0 as $\epsilon \to 0$ for almost every direction Z. Say $|Z| = 1$, and letting $x = \epsilon Z$ we define

$$e(x) = d^2(u(x), u(0)) - \pi_{ij} x^i x^j$$

Since u is locally Lipschitz, we can say $\frac{e(x)}{|x|^2}$ is bounded independent of $|x|$ and the basepoint 0.

Integrating over a sphere of radius σ we see

$$I(\sigma) = \omega_n \left|\nabla u\right|^2 (0) \sigma^{n+1} + \int_{\partial B_\sigma} e(x) d\Sigma$$

The quantity $\frac{1}{\sigma^{n+1}} \int_{\partial B_\sigma} e(x) d\Sigma$ measures the difference between the σ-approximate energy density at 0 and the actual energy density. This difference tends towards 0 at almost every point $0 \in M$. Together with the boundedness property, this shows

$$I(\sigma) = \omega_n \left|\nabla u\right|^2 (0) \sigma^{n+1} + o(\sigma^{n+1})$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 12 shows that

$$\int_{B_\sigma} \left|\nabla u\right|^2 d\mu \leq \int_{\partial B_\sigma} d(u, Q) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} d(u, Q) d\Sigma \tag{4}$$

And the triangle inequality gives

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r}d(u,Q)\right| \leq \left|\frac{du}{dr}\right| \tag{5}$$

In fact, these inequalities hold for any $Q \in X$, but we will only work with $Q = u(x_0)$.

It was shown in [GS] that for a suitable constant $c_1 \geq 0$, the function

$$e^{c_1\sigma^2}\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}$$

is monotone non-decreasing in σ. They used the monotnicity of the function above to define the order of u at x_0 as

$$ord(x_0) = \lim_{\sigma\to 0} e^{c_1\sigma^2}\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}$$

At points where $|\nabla u|^2 \neq 0$, [GS] showed that u has order 1. This can also be seen via our expansions of E and I. We can now see how the ratio $\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}$ behaves assymptotically near $\sigma = 0$:

Proposition 15 *Let M, X and u be as in Lemma 11. At almost every $x_0 \in M$ with $|\nabla u|^2(x_0) \neq 0$,*

$$\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)} \geq 1 + \frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(x_0)}{3(n+2)\,|\nabla u|^2(x_0)}\sigma^2 + o(\sigma^2)$$

Proof. First note that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\sigma\to 0}\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)} &= \lim_{\sigma\to 0} e^{-c_1\sigma^2}e^{c_1\sigma^2}\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}\\ &= \lim_{\sigma\to 0} e^{-c_1\sigma^2}\lim_{\sigma\to 0} e^{c_1\sigma^2}\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}\\ &= 1\cdot ord(x_0)\end{aligned}$$

For an L^∞ function f on M, compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\sigma}\int_{\partial B_\sigma} f d\Sigma &= \int_{\partial B_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(f\sqrt{g})dS + \frac{n-1}{\sigma}\int_{B_\sigma} f\sqrt{g}dS \\ &= \int_{\partial B_\sigma}\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}d\Sigma - \frac{1}{3}\int_{\partial B_\sigma} f(x)\frac{Ric_0(x,x)}{|x|}dS \\ &\quad + \frac{n-1}{\sigma}\int_{\partial B_\sigma} f d\Sigma + O(\sigma^2)\int_{\partial B_\sigma} f dS\end{aligned}$$

For $f(x) = d^2(u(x), Q) = d^2(u(x), u(0))$, we compute a logarithmic derivative

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{I'(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)} &= \frac{1}{I(\sigma)}\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}d^2(u,Q)d\Sigma + \frac{n-1}{\sigma} + O(\sigma^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3\sigma I(\sigma)}\int_{\partial B_\sigma} d^2(u,Q)Ric_0(x,x)dS\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 14 to rewrite $d^2(u, Q)$ and then part 3 from Proposition 4, compute

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial B_\sigma} d^2(u,Q)Ric_0(x,x)dS &= \int_{\partial B_\sigma}(\pi_{ij}x^i x^j + e(x))R_{k\ell}x^k x^\ell dS \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{n+2}\left(2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0) + S(0)\left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)\right)\sigma^{n+3} + o(\sigma^{n+3})\end{aligned}$$

Combinig, see

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{I'(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)} &= \frac{1}{I(\sigma)}\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}d^2(u,Q)d\Sigma + \frac{n-1}{\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3(n+2)}\left(\frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{\left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)} + S(0)\right)\sigma + o(\sigma)\end{aligned}$$

Now compute the logarithmic derivative of $E(\sigma)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{E'(\sigma)}{E(\sigma)} &= (E(\sigma))^{-1}\int_{\partial B_\sigma}|\nabla u|^2\,d\Sigma \\ &= \frac{n-2}{\sigma}+\frac{2}{E(\sigma)}\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\Sigma \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{3(n+2)}\left(\frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{|\nabla u|^2(0)}-S(0)\right)\sigma+o(\sigma)\end{aligned}$$

This last line comes from Lemma 11. Now combine these:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\sigma}\log\left(\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}\right) &= \frac{1}{\sigma}+\frac{E'(\sigma)}{E(\sigma)}-\frac{I'(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)} \\ &= -(I(\sigma))^{-1}\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(d^2(u,Q))d\Sigma \\ &\quad +2(E(\sigma))^{-1}\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\Sigma \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{3(n+2)}\cdot\frac{4tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{|\nabla u|^2(0)}\sigma+o(\sigma)\end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [GS] using equations (4) and (5) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that the first two of these terms combine to be non-negative. Hence

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma}\log\left(\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}\right)\geq\frac{4tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{3(n+2)|\nabla u|^2(0)}\sigma+o(\sigma)$$

Integrating over σ, recall Lemma 8 and see

$$\log\left(\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}\right)-\log(ord(0))\geq\frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{3(n+2)|\nabla u|^2(0)}\sigma^2+o(\sigma^2)$$

Taking exponentials and recalling $ord(x)=1$, we get

$$\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}\geq\exp\left(\frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{3(n+2)|\nabla u|^2(0)}\sigma^2+o(\sigma^2)\right)$$

Since $e^x=1+x+O(x^2)$,

$$\frac{\sigma E(\sigma)}{I(\sigma)}\geq 1+\frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{3(n+2)|\nabla u|^2(0)}\sigma^2+o(\sigma^2)$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 16 *For M, X, u as above, for almost every $x_0 \in M$ and for σ sufficiently small,*

$$⨍_{B_\sigma(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \geq \left(1 + \frac{tr(\pi \cdot Ric)(x_0)}{n+2}\sigma^2 + o(\sigma^2)\right) |\nabla u|^2 (x_0)$$

PROOF. If $|\nabla u|^2 (0) = 0$, then the inequality holds trivially. Let $x_0 \in M$ with $|\nabla u|^2 (0) \neq 0$ be a point where the previous propositions and lemmas apply and identify x_0 with $0 \in T_{x_0}M$. Compute, setting for simplicity $V_\sigma = Vol(B_\sigma(x_0))$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\sigma} ⨍_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu &= \frac{1}{V_\sigma^2}\left(V_\sigma \int_{\partial B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\Sigma - V'_\sigma \int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{V_\sigma}\Big[\int_{\partial B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\Sigma - \frac{n}{\sigma}\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{3(n+2)} S(0)\sigma + O(\sigma^2)\right)\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu\Big] \\
&= \frac{1}{V_\sigma}\left[2\int_{\partial B_\sigma} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\Sigma - \frac{2}{\sigma}\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{3(n+2)} \cdot \frac{2tr(\pi \cdot Ric)(0)}{|\nabla u|^2 (0)}\sigma + o(\sigma)\right)\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu\right] \\
&= \frac{2}{\sigma V_\sigma}\left[\sigma \int_{\partial B_\sigma} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\Sigma - \int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{tr(\pi \cdot Ric)(0)}{3(n+2)|\nabla u|^2 (0)}\sigma^2 + o(\sigma^2)\right)\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu\right]
\end{aligned}$$

The comparsion of V_σ and V'_σ comes from Proposition 5. With equations (4) and (5) and the Cauchy-Scharz inequality, one can see

$$\int_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \leq \sqrt{\int_{\partial B_\sigma} d^2(u, Q) d\Sigma \int_{\partial B_\sigma} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\Sigma}$$

Then, using Proposition 15, compute

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1+\frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{3(n+2)\left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)}\sigma^2 \;+\; o(\sigma^2)\right)\int_{\partial B_\sigma} d^2(u,Q)d\Sigma & \\ \leq\; \sigma\int_{B_\sigma}\left|\nabla u\right|^2 d\mu & \\ \leq\; \sigma\sqrt{\int_{\partial B_\sigma} d^2(u,Q)d\Sigma\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\Sigma} &\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $\sqrt{\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\Sigma}$ and dividing by $\sqrt{\int_{\partial B_\sigma} d^2(u,Q)d\Sigma}$ yields

$$\sigma\int_{\partial B_\sigma}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right|^2 d\Sigma \geq \left(1+\frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{3(n+2)\left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)}\sigma^2+o(\sigma^2)\right)\int_{B_\sigma}\left|\nabla u\right|^2 d\mu$$

Substituting in our main computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\sigma}\fint_{B_\sigma}\left|\nabla u\right|^2 d\mu \;&\geq\; \frac{2}{\sigma V_\sigma}\left(\frac{tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{(n+2)\left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)}\sigma^2+o(\sigma^2)\right)\int_{B_\sigma}\left|\nabla u\right|^2 d\mu \\ \frac{d}{d\sigma}\log\left(\fint_{B_\sigma}\left|\nabla u\right|^2 d\mu\right) \;&\geq\; \frac{2tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{(n+2)\left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)}\sigma+o(\sigma)\end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to σ, recall that we are working at a Lebesgue point for $\left|\nabla u\right|^2$ and see

$$\begin{aligned}\fint_{B_\sigma}\left|\nabla u\right|^2 d\mu \;&\geq\; \left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)\exp\left(\frac{tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{(n+2)\left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)}\sigma^2+o(\sigma^2)\right) \\ &=\; \left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)\left(1+\frac{tr(\pi\cdot Ric)(0)}{(n+2)\left|\nabla u\right|^2(0)}\sigma^2+o(\sigma^2)\right)\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 17 *Let f be an L^∞ function on a relatively compact Riemannian domain Ω of dimension n satisfying for almost every $x_0\in\Omega$*

$$\fint_{B_\sigma(x_0)} f d\mu \geq (1 + C\sigma^2 + o(\sigma^2))f(x_0)$$

Then f satisfies the weak differential inequality

$$\Delta f \geq 2(n+2)Cf$$

PROOF. First we will need a computation about smooth functions. For a smooth function h on Ω, take normal coordinates about $x_0 \in \Omega$, and the Taylor expansion of h about x_0 in these coordinates is

$$h(x) = h(0) + \partial_i h(0)x^i + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\partial_j h(0)x^i x^j + O(|x|^2)$$

Now compute the average of h on $B_\sigma(x_0)$, recalling that the volume element in normal coordinates is $\sqrt{g} = 1 + O(|x|^2)$ and that the riemannian volume of B_σ is $\omega_n\sigma^n + O(\sigma^{n+2})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\fint_{B_\sigma} h d\mu &= h(0) + \partial_i h(0) \fint_{B_\sigma} x^i d\mu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\partial_j h(0) \fint_{B_\sigma} x^i x^j d\mu + O(\sigma^3) \\ &= h(0) + \partial_i h(0) \fint_{B_\sigma} x^i dx + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\partial_j h(0) \fint_{B_\sigma} x^i x^j dx + O(\sigma^3)\end{aligned}$$

Integrating now with respect to a Euclidean metric, $\fint_{B_\sigma} x^i dx = 0$, and Proposition 4 implies

$$\fint_{B_\sigma} \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\partial_j h(0)x^i x^j dx = \frac{1}{2(n+2)}\sigma^2\partial_i\partial_i h(0)$$

And in normal coordinates, $\partial_i\partial_i h(0) = \Delta h(0)$, so

$$\fint_{B_\sigma(x_0)} h d\mu = h(x_0) + \frac{1}{2(n+2)}\sigma^2\Delta h(x_0) + O(\sigma^3)$$

This $O(\sigma^3)$ term depends on the geometry of Ω as well as higher order information about the function h. Note that this formula, when combined with the hypothesis, immediately yields the result for smooth f.

For $f \in L^1$, we will integrate against a smooth test function η. It will be useful to be able to move an average value operator from one function onto

the other. In order to do so, we must first compare volumes of balls centered at different points:

$$\begin{aligned} |B_\sigma(p)| &= \omega_n \sigma^n \left(1 - \frac{S(p)}{6(n+2)}\sigma^2 + O(\sigma^3)\right) \\ \frac{1}{|B_\sigma(x)|} - \frac{1}{|B_\sigma(y)|} &= \frac{1}{\omega_n \sigma^n}\left(\frac{S(x)-S(y)}{6(n+2)}\sigma^2 + O(\sigma^3)\right) \\ &= O(d(x,y)\sigma^{2-n}) \end{aligned}$$

For $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}d(supp(\eta), \partial\Omega)$ we can make sense of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \eta(x) \left(⨍_{B_\sigma(x)} f(y)d\mu(y)\right) d\mu(x) &= \int_{d(x,y)<\sigma} \frac{1}{|B_\sigma(x)|}\eta(x)f(y)d\mu(y)d\mu(x) \\ &= \int f(y)\left(\frac{1}{|B_\sigma(y)|} + O(\sigma^{3-n})\right)\int_{B_\sigma(y)} \eta(x)d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\ &= \int f(y)\left(⨍_{B_\sigma(y)} \eta(x)d\mu(x) + O(\sigma^3)\eta(y)\right)d\mu(y) \end{aligned}$$

Now since η is smooth it satifies

$$⨍_{B_\sigma(x)} \eta d\mu = \eta(x) + \frac{1}{2(n+2)}\Delta\eta(x)\sigma^2 + O(\sigma^3)$$

Now we are in a position to integrate $\Delta\eta$ against f:

$$\begin{aligned} \int f\Delta\eta d\mu &= \frac{2(n+2)}{\sigma^2}\int f(x)\left(⨍_{B_\sigma(x)} \eta d\mu - \eta(x) + O(\sigma^3)\right)d\mu(x) \\ &= \frac{2(n+2)}{\sigma^2}\int \eta(y)\left(⨍_{B_\sigma(y)} f d\mu + O(\sigma^3)f(y) - f(y)\right)d\mu(y) + O(\sigma) \\ &\geq 2(n+2)\int \eta(y)(C+o(1))f(y)d\mu(y) + O(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\sigma \to 0$ yields

$$\int f\Delta\eta d\mu \geq \int 2(n+2)Cf\eta d\mu$$

But this is just the statement of the weak inequality

$$\Delta f \geq 2(n+2)Cf$$

Q.E.D.

Theorem 18 *For a harmonic map $u : M \to X$, for any $\Omega \subseteq M$, let m be the minimum eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor over Ω. Then over Ω we have the weak differential inequality*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta \left|\nabla u\right|^2 \geq m \left|\nabla u\right|^2$$

PROOF. Computing only over Ω, see that $tr(\pi \cdot Ric) \geq m \left|\nabla u\right|^2$. Proposition 16 tells us

$$\fint_{B_\sigma(x)} \left|\nabla u\right|^2 d\mu \geq \left|\nabla u\right|^2 (x) + \frac{m}{n+2} \left|\nabla u\right|^2$$

Now Proposition 17 implies

$$\Delta \left|\nabla u\right|^2 \geq 2m \left|\nabla u\right|^2$$

Q.E.D.

Remark 19 *The terms $tr(\pi \cdot Ric)$ that have appeared are exactly analogous to the $Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u)$ that appears in the classical Bochner formula:*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta \left|\nabla u\right|^2 = \left|\nabla du\right|^2 + \langle Ric^M \nabla u, \nabla u\rangle - \langle Riem^X(\nabla u, \nabla u)\nabla u, \nabla u\rangle$$

In particular, what Theorem 18 shows is essentially

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta \left|\nabla u\right|^2 \geq tr(\pi \cdot Ric)$$

This is precisely the Bochner identity after noting that $\left|\nabla du\right|^2$ and $Riem^X$ are non-negative in our case.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let M have non-negative Ricci curvatures, X be an NPC metric space, and $u : M \to X$ a harmonic map. Theorem 18 then implies that over M we have

$$\Delta |\nabla u|^2 \geq 0$$

If M is compact, then

$$\int_M \Delta |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu = 0$$

Hence we must have $\Delta |\nabla u|^2 = 0$ almost everywhere, so $|\nabla u|^2$ is constant.

If in addition the Ricci curvatures of M are positive at a single point of M, there is an open set Ω where the the Ricci curvatures are strictly positive. Theorem 18 now says that over Ω there is $m > 0$ so that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla u|^2 \geq m |\nabla u|^2$$

Since $\Delta |\nabla u|^2 = 0$, we must have $|\nabla u|^2 \equiv 0$ on Ω. Since $|\nabla u|^2$ is constant, it must be 0 on all of M. That is, u is a constant map. Q.E.D.

In the case of a flat domain, we can analyze all the inequalities we used to deduce total geodesicisity of harmonic maps. Since the energy density is constant, if it is not identically 0 then every point has order 1. The following arguments (essentially those presented in [GS] Lemma 3.2) show that such a map must be totally geodesic:

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2. If M is compact and flat, then in normal coordinates around any point, the metric is constant. Thus the formula (3) is simply

$$(2-n)\int_{B_\sigma(x)} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \sigma \int_{B_\sigma(x)} \left[|\nabla u|^2 - 2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 \right] dS = 0$$

The further lemmas and propositions then follow with no error terms. In particular, since $|\nabla u|^2$ is constant by Theorem 1, we have

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\sigma} \fint_{B_\sigma} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \geq 0$$

Hence all of the inequalities used must be equalities. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives a constant (depending on σ) so that on ∂B_σ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} d(u, Q) = c_\sigma d(u, Q)$$

Equation (5) gives almost everywhere

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} d(u, Q) \right| = \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|$$

And from $\int_{\partial B_\sigma} d^2(u, Q) dS = \sigma^2 \int_{\partial B_\sigma} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 dS$ we have $c_\sigma = \frac{1}{\sigma}$. Now we see

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right| = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} d(u, Q) = \frac{1}{\sigma} d(u, Q)$$

First note that for x near 0 we calculate

$$d(u(x), u(0)) = \int_0^x \frac{\partial}{\partial r} d(u, Q) dt = \int_0^x \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right| dt$$

And this last integral calculates the length of the image of the geodesic from 0 to x. Hence the image of this geodesic segment is a geodesic in X. Now from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} d(u(x), u(0)) = \frac{1}{|x|} d(u(x), u(0))$$

Conclude that the image of the unit speed geodesic from 0 to x is a constant speed geodesic in X. Since harmonic maps into NPC spaces are locally Lipschitz continuous [cf [GS], [KS1]], the speed of the image geodesics in X depends only on the direction of the geodesics in M. Hence u is totally geodesic. Q.E.D.

Classically, the Bochner formula proves that any map from a space of non-negative Ricci curvature to a space of non-positive curvature is totally geodesic. The methods of this paper are not quite strong enough to detect this when the domain is not flat. The sectional curvatures influence many of the $o(\sigma^k)$ error terms. In order to deduce total geodecisity, we need all of our inequalities to be equalities, but these error terms interfere. We nonetheless phrase this as a conjecture.

Conjecture 20 *Any harmonic map from a Riemannian manifold of non-negative Ricci curvatures to an NPC metric space is totally geodesic.*

References

[C] J. Chen. *On energy minimizing mappings between and into singular spaces.* Duke Math. J. 79 (1995) 77-99.

[DM] G. Daskalopoulos and C. Mese. *Fixed point and rigidity theorems for harmonic maps into NPC spaces.* Geometriae Dedicata 141 (2009), 33-57.

[DMV] G. Daskalopoulos, C. Mese and A. Vdovina. *Superrigidity of hyperbolic buildings.* Geom. Func. Anal. 21 (2011), no. 4, 905-919.

[ES] J. Eels and J. H. Sampson. *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds.* Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 109-160.

[GS] M. Gromov and R. Schoen. *Harmonic maps into singular spaces and p-adic superrigidity for lattices in groups of rank one.* Publ. Math. IHES 76 (1992) 165-246.

[GJW] D.T. Guarrera, N.G. Johnson and H.F. Wolfe. *The Taylor expansion of a Riemannian metric.* Preprint, 2002.

[KS1] N. Korevaar and R. Schoen. *Sobolev spaces and harmonic maps into metric space targets.* Comm. Anal. Geom. 1 (1993) 561-659.

[KS2] N. Korevaar and R. Schoen. *Global existence theorem for harmonic maps to non-locally compact spaces.* Comm. Anal. Geom. 5 (1997) 333-387.

[LS] P. Li and R. Schoen. *L^p and mean value properties of subharmonic functions on riemannian manifolds.* Acta Math. 153 (1984) 279-301.